**Evaluation form for Ibrahim award**

**Name of Nominee**:

**Contribution of Nominee**:

What was the contribution of the nominee to this work? Please read the nomination letter for details and evaluate the article for information about author contributions to the work.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 4 points | 3 points | 2 points | 1 point |
| **Research contribution** | Nominee **led** research (e.g. designed experiments, initiated collaborations, collected most of the data, was responsible for most of the analyses, etc…) | Nominee made **strong contribution** to research (e.g. collected most of the data, led large parts of the experiment, analyzed data, etc…) | Nominee **contributed** to research (e.g. collected part of the data, analyzed some data) | Nominee’s role in the research is minor or **unclear** |
| **Writing contribution** | Nominee **led** writing of the paper | Nominee was significantly **involved** in writing the paper | Nominee **helped** write the paper | Nominee’s involvement in writing the paper is minor or **unclear** |

**Impact or Potential Impact of Work on the Field of Plant Biology**

Will this work have a significant impact or potential impact on the field of plant biology? It may be helpful to consider the journal where it was published, the results of the research, how well the article is written, and the comments in the nomination letter that address impact.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 4 points | 3 points | 2 points | 1 point |
| **Novelty of findings**  | Very novel | Novel | Somewhat novel | Not particularly novel |
| **Applicability of findings in plant sciences**  | Research has potential impact in many subfields | Research has potential to affect two or three subfields | Research likely to impact its own subfield only | Research unlikely to have impact |